

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/714,752	CHEN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Thanhha Pham	2813	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Thanhha Pham.

(3) _____.

(2) Tod Myrum.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 23 June 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner suggests amendment to specification of paragraph [0045] (line 3 of amended paragraph [0045] dated 06/09/2006) by changing "another embodiment" to -- one embodiment --, since this amendment does not add another new embodiment to the specification. The description of "second mask layer 281 and the mask layer of Figure 2B are patterned with the same pattern" has been originally supported by original claim 3 and figures 2B & 2E. .